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Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed December 6, 2004, Applicant responds as follows:
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Brian R. Tumm
**ELECTION**

The Restriction Requirement set forth in the December 6, 2004 Office Action has been considered. Applicant hereby elects with traverse the invention of Group I drawn to a method of controlling the use of a weapon and Applicant further elects without traverse species A directed to radio signals.

In the Restriction Requirement, Claim 1 is listed as being generic. Since Claim 1 is cancelled, we contacted the Examiner as to which claims are generic. In a December 16, 2004 interview, the Examiner indicated that at least Claim 7 is generic.

In the Restriction Requirement, Group I corresponds to Claims 7-19. Applicant believes Claims 7-12 and 16-19 are generic. Applicant believes that Claims 13 and 14 are drawn to elected species A and that non-elected Claims 15 and 25 are drawn to species B and C.

In the Restriction Requirement, independent Claims 7, 20 and 28 are each listed as being drawn to a different invention. We disagree for the following reasons.

In the Restriction Requirement, Groups II and III are both classified in Class 42, subclass 70.11. Thus, the subject matter of Groups II and III should require the same search and Groups II and III should be examined together.

The invention of Group I is classified in Class 342, subclass 44. We disagree with the classification. Class 342 is titled Communications: Directive Radio Wave Systems and Devices (e.g., radar, radio navigation). Subclass 44 “Unique Identity” is indented under subclass 42. Thus Subclass 44 includes the definition of Subclass 42. Subclass 42, titled Radar Transponder System, is directed to means wherein a radar signal is received from an originating station, has information coded thereon or added and is retransmitted to the originating station. Therefore the unique identity of Subclass 44 must include the retransmission feature.

Applicant’s claimed invention receives an activation code signal and a continuous or uncoded power signal, and senses the presence/absence of the activation code signal in a
received signal. Applicant does not claim or disclose receiving a radar signal from an originating station, having information coded thereon or added, and then retransmitted to the originating station. Thus, the classification of Group I is believed to be in error.

The subject matter of Group I is similar to the subject matter of Groups II and III. All of the claimed methods control the use of, or the ability to discharge a weapon. Therefore, Applicant believes the invention of Group I should be classified in Class 42, subclass 70.11, along with the inventions in Groups II and III.

Groups I-III disclose and claim similar subject matter and require the same search as shown by their proper classification. Thus Applicant believes Groups I-III should be examined together.

In view of the above comments, reconsideration and examination of Claims 7-14, 16-24 and 26-28 is respectfully requested.

The claims are believed allowable over the applied prior art for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action.

Further and favorable consideration of this application, and allowance thereof is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian R. Tumm
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