Those who would avoid the awful, the indescribable realities of first the murder of President John F. Kennedy and then, even more sickening, the assassination of its official investigation, will not face reality and they will not face the reality of their avoidance of it whether it be conscious or unconscious.

There are the intellectual finks, the quick-buck Schillers, Robes, and the authors of print and video, white-washes and slanders, which always achieve the widest dissemination and are invariably predicated upon the most obvious lies or distortions, tinctured infrequently with sublime ignorance.

And there are those who remain honest but unthinking, unwilling to spend the time on the central issue of today's world that they devote to the nonsense and trivia with which they help divert, when there is a world to save or to burn.

"If Oswald didn't do it, who did?" is a common formulation of a popular avoidance.

Is it not enough that Oswald did not do it — could not have?

Is it not enough that a President has been coldly murdered and more

the so-called kissed off to a publicly-tended grave while privately this one President who in their lifetimes is the only one to have captured the
imaginations of our youth enjoys the official ignominy of an unacknowledged bastard in a "respectable" home?

Is it not more than enough that with this murder of his policies also were murdered those things he pledged to do are undone and those things he would not—like fight a war in Vietnam—are done?

Is it not, indeed, more than enough that our government lied to us about the murder of a President, manufactured the evidence that did not exist, destroyed what it could of what did exist that proved it a lie, misrepresented what remained of which it could not avoid?

When the government assures that the ample existing photographic record of this most awful crime could and could never be assembled into a permanent archive in its investigation—when it suppresses most of these pictures, assures the destruction of others—and when the FBI itself destroys photographs that show the President and that sixth-floor window with no Oswald and no rifle in it—and the unchallenged revelations is met by official silence and almost total journalistic suppression—the question is hardly "If Oswald didn't do it, who did?"

Not that this is secret or that there is any question about it.

Those who oppose the policies of President Kennedy murdered him.

Not alone, not unassisted.
And that is why there was the official whitewash. That is why the FBI and the Secret Service covered up.

That also is why the government lied about Oswald's alleged politics. Oswald did not engage in anti-Castro activity. His activities and his connections were with the anti-Castro forces, and through them with the CIA.

It is they who framed him with the assassination, and it is for this reason that the government framed us and history with its fake "solution". This is not a matter of a few hundred words in an article. Its first revelation was in chapter 11 of the first (and still the most complete) book on the assassination and its official investigation, the conclusions of which appeared in toto in the June 1964 "BOOKS." This was amplified in Chapter 7, "The Hoover Diversion, Or The False False Oswald", of WHITEWASH II.

...and in 180,000 words it is now set forth in full (say from more than 500 pages of once-suppressed official documentation in OSWALD IN NEW ORLEANS: CASE FOR CONSPIRACY) (check subtitle with Dick), which says: CIA WHITEWASH. It will be further revealed in the historic investigation and trials by courageous, indomitable Jim Garrison.

And this is why no major publisher will make an initial printing of any of my books - because they prove this most horrible crime in our history - the OFFICIAL CRIME - and because they explain what has followed it.